



## **CIO BLOG**

**Fahad Kamal** – Chief Investment Officer

October 2020

# **REMEMBER, REMEMBER, THE FOURTH OF NOVEMBER...IN 2025**

---

With hyper-partisanship forming the central theme of our zeitgeist, the upcoming American pageant will be bombastic. Be prepared for incendiary personal attacks, instant social-media rage echo chambers and tragicomic spectacle – the first debate repeated nightly on your television, essentially. The cacophony may well cause market volatility, and the impulse to act on the searing hot stimuli of screaming heads and scarlet-lettered headlines will be almost irresistible.

Nonetheless, this election too shall pass. It was just four years ago when markets were agitated about the potential implications of a Donald Trump victory; apocalyptic visions were painted. Here we are, four years later, and fickle investors appear to be dreading the opposite. The common refrain is that Donald Trump – while certainly unconventional – is pro-business, with tax cuts in 2017 being one of his signature presidential achievements. The logic goes that if Joe Biden should come to power, taxes will rise, which in turn may upend global risk assets, along with increased regulation. Some of the president's recent musings even raise the spectre of his refusal to concede a loss or transfer power, should he be dissatisfied with the fairness of the balloting.

## US PRESIDENTS SINCE 1877

Party	Name & Dates in Office	Annualised Performance of US Equities	Average Real GDP Growth (%)
<b>Democratic</b>			
	Barack Obama (20/01/2009 to 20/01/2017)	13.2%	0.8%
	Bill Clinton (20/01/1993 to 20/01/2001)	14.4%	2.7%
	Franklin D. Roosevelt (04/03/1933 to 12/04/1945)	9.8%	8.2%
	Grover Cleveland (04/03/1885 to 04/03/1889)	10.6%	3.2%
	Grover Cleveland (04/03/1893 to 04/03/1897)	3.6%	-2.3%
	Harry S. Truman (12/04/1945 to 20/01/1953)	8.7%	-0.4%
	Jimmy Carter (20/01/1977 to 20/01/1981)	1.3%	2.1%
<b>Assassinated</b> ▶	John F. Kennedy (20/01/1961 to 22/11/1963)	9.3%	2.7%
<b>Civil rights bill</b> ▶	Lyndon B. Johnson (22/11/1963 to 20/01/1969)	7.1%	4.1%
	Woodrow Wilson (04/03/1913 to 04/03/1921)	-4.4%	0.8%
<b>Democratic Average</b>		<b>7.3%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>
<b>Republican</b>			
<b>Lost popular vote</b> ▶	Benjamin Harrison (04/03/1889 to 04/03/1893)	4.9%	2.5%
	Calvin Coolidge (08/02/1923 to 04/03/1929)	24.0%	2.9%
	Chester A. Arthur (19/09/1881 to 04/03/1885)	2.1%	-0.6%
<b>Lost popular vote</b> ▶	Donald Trump (20/01/2017 to 31/08/2020)	11.2%	2.0%
	Dwight D. Eisenhower (20/01/1953 to 20/01/1961)	13.8%	1.2%
	George H. W. Bush (20/01/1989 to 20/01/1993)	10.2%	1.0%
<b>Lost popular vote</b> ▶	George W. Bush (20/01/2001 to 20/01/2009)	-5.8%	1.2%
	Gerald Ford (09/08/1974 to 20/01/1977)	11.1%	1.6%
	Herbert Hoover (04/03/1929 to 04/03/1933)	-19.1%	-6.5%
<b>Assassinated</b> ▶	James A. Garfield (04/03/1881 to 19/09/1881)	-8.2%	9.9%
<b>Major scandal</b> ▶	Richard Nixon (20/01/1969 to 09/08/1974)	-7.7%	1.7%
	Ronald Reagan (20/01/1981 to 20/01/1989)	10.1%	2.5%
<b>Lost popular vote</b> ▶	Rutherford B. Hayes (04/03/1877 to 04/03/1881)	27.0%	4.9%
	Theodore Roosevelt (14/09/1901 to 04/03/1909)	4.1%	-0.5%
<b>Major scandal</b> ▶	Warren G. Harding (04/03/1921 to 08/02/1923)	30.1%	-0.1%
	William Howard Taft (04/03/1909 to 04/03/1913)	2.5%	2.2%
<b>Assassinated</b> ▶	William McKinley (04/03/1897 to 14/09/1901)	15.1%	4.1%
<b>Republican Average</b>		<b>7.4%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>

### FOOTNOTES AT END

In this piece, we are neither dismissing nor validating the various fears. Some have truth. Corporate taxes, for instance, are likely to increase: from 21% to 28%. One could argue that this is still well below the tax rate in several major advanced economies (e.g. Australia, France). We could also surmise that large US corporates would already have repatriated waves of foreign-held cash during the last few years of favourable tax treatment. *However, that is not the point of this piece.*

**The point is much simpler: long-term investors such as ourselves should be more focused on where we expect risk-assets to be on 4th November 2025, rather than 4th November 2020. Why?**

Firstly, no one knows who will win the election, if it will be controversial, or how markets will react to what no one can predict in the first place – conventional wisdom from experts is a poor guide. Look no further than four years ago. Markets were terrified of what would happen if Donald Trump were to win, and few expected such an outlandish thing could occur. Lindsay Graham – a deep-red establishment Senator from South Carolina, and one of President Trump’s most loyal current supporters – said the following in 2016: *“I believe Donald Trump would be an absolute, utter disaster for the Republican Party, and destroy conservatism as we know it. We’d get wiped out, and it would take generations to overcome a Trump candidacy.”*

This seasoned political operator could not see a few months ahead of him; and it has taken far less than a generation for him to completely change his personal tune. Had Mr. Graham known the outcome of this election we know what he would have done with his politics, but what might he have done with his portfolio? Sold everything and gone to cash is a reasonable supposition given his fears. On this, he would have been wrong too, as markets warmed up to the election result far quicker than he did!

**Secondly, history tells us that there is little to choose from between the two main US parties in terms of equity market performance over the long-term.** In table 1, there is a list of all US presidents from Rutherford Hayes (19th) to the current incumbent (45th). [We began in President Hayes' tenure because we have a reliable source of US equity market returns from that period onwards.] Under Democratic regimes, the average, real (i.e. net of inflation) annual equity market return is +7.3%; under Republican administrations, it is +7.4%. **In plain words, there is no difference between the two at the headline level for investors over time.**

Of course, there are huge differences within the wider sample and each administration has its idiosyncrasies. The best performing Democrat was Bill Clinton, who presided over annualised US equity market performance of 14.4%: stable inflation and robust economic growth were hallmarks of his tenure. Woodrow Wilson, on the other hand, was the worst performing, even though he is considered one of the most competent US presidents – he created the modern Federal Reserve and broke up monopolies. (His views on race, however, were abhorrent). Some may point to his tenure coinciding with World War I, though this would not explain poor equity market performance. The conflict occurred during the first part of his tenure and was a boon to the initial returns during his administration, with the index falling by over a third from November 1919 to the end of his presidency two years later.

Republicans carry similar idiosyncrasies when one drills down. The best performing Republican was Warren G. Harding, with an annualised performance of over 30% during his presidency. However, today his truncated tenure is far more associated with deep corruption (i.e. Teapot Dome scandal). Herbert Hoover has the dubious distinction of experiencing the worst annualised equity returns for a president of either party, averaging -19%. Of course, he had little to do with it - his tenure just happened to begin in 1929, shortly before the Great Crash and the advent of the Great Depression.

There is a somewhat wider gap in relative economic growth statistics, where Democrats tend to deliver more robust performance, which is counterintuitive given Republicans have marketed themselves quite successfully as having a better handle on economic policy. However, this does not tell us anything definitive. As the above examples demonstrate, growth in any year often has little to do with the person in the White House and is uncorrelated with equity market returns in single, discrete years.

**The reason why returns tend to average out over time, regardless of the party in power, is most likely that the US enjoys rule of law and established institutions that handle the basics of governance (e.g. enforcing contracts, ensuring peaceful transfers) relatively well. It's as simple as that.**

**Some might postulate that this time is different.** Indeed, deep, rancorous division across the political spectrum is palpable and can be rather demoralising – but it is not new. **Race riots, not new.** The US was arguably far more divided – and racial tensions even more embittered – when Lyndon Baines Johnson pushed through landmark civil rights legislation in the 1960s. **Contested elections, not new.** Four US presidents in the last 150 years have lost the popular vote but gone on to occupy the White House via the electoral college. This happened most recently in 2000, when Al Gore was pipped by George W. Bush due to a few-hundred Floridian “hanging chads”. **Major scandals, not new.** Teapot Dome, Watergate Gate, and the intelligence supporting wars in Vietnam and Iraq come to mind.

### **Bottom line**

As we have noted in the run-up to myriad geopolitical risk events, **our investment process seeks to evaluate the long-term fundamentals rather than focus on short-term movements. It is deliberately long-term** to eschew the “noise” which inevitably surrounds such events, and look to what we consider indelible, longstanding drivers of asset returns: economic climate, valuation, momentum and sentiment. **To the degree that geopolitical events impact the fundamentals, we pay attention.** To the degree they do not, we remain positioned to take advantage of any excessively bearish sentiment by taking contrarian positions.

### **Footnotes**

1. Analysis starts for the 19th President as US equity data was not accurately available before from data source
2. Annualised equity performance is Total Return, adjusted for inflation and dividends
3. GDP Data from Samuel H. Williamson, ‘What Was the U.S. GDP Then?’ MeasuringWorth, 2020.
4. Average Real GDP per year is a geometric average of annual figures. Each president is credited with GDP for entire year regardless of when sworn in
5. If President is in office for more than 6 months of a year he is given credit for the GDP Growth rate of that year
6. Multiple Expansion and US Equities are using Shiller Cape Data

### Important Information

This document is provided for information purposes only. It does not constitute and under no circumstances should it be considered in whole or in part as an offer, a solicitation, advice, a recommendation or a contract. It is intended to be used by the recipient only and may not be passed on or disclosed to any other persons and/or in any jurisdiction that would render the distribution illegal.

It is the responsibility of any person in possession of this document to inform himself or herself of and to observe all applicable laws and regulations of the relevant jurisdictions. This document is in no way intended to be distributed in or into the United States of America nor directly or indirectly to any U.S. person.

### Limitation

Information herein is believed to be reliable but the Kleinwort Hambros Group does not warrant its completeness or accuracy and it should not be relied on or acted upon without further verification. The Kleinwort Hambros Group disclaims any responsibility to update or make any revisions to this document. Opinions, estimates and expressions of judgment are those of the writer and are subject to change without notice. As such, the Kleinwort Hambros Group, Societe Generale and its other subsidiaries shall not be held liable for any consequences, financial or otherwise, following any action taken or not taken in relation to this document and its contents.

### Investment Performance

Past performance should not be seen as an indication of future performance. Investments may be subject to market fluctuations and the price and value of investments and the income derived from them can go down as well as up. Your capital may be at risk and you may not get back the amount you invest. Changes in inflation, interest rates and the rate of exchange may have an adverse effect on the value, price and income of investments.

### Legal and Regulatory Information

Kleinwort Hambros is the brand name for the following legal entities;

#### United Kingdom

SG Kleinwort Hambros Bank Limited, which is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority. The firm reference number is 119250. The company is incorporated in England and Wales under number 964058 and its registered address is 5th Floor, 8 St James's Square, London SW1Y 4JU.

#### Channel Islands

SG Kleinwort Hambros Bank (CI) Limited, which is regulated by the Jersey Financial Services Commission ("JFSC") for banking, investment, money services and fund services business. The company is incorporated in Jersey under number 2693 and its registered address is PO Box 78, SG Hambros House, 18 Esplanade, St Helier, Jersey JE4 8PR. SG Kleinwort Hambros Bank (CI) Limited – Guernsey Branch is regulated by the Guernsey Financial Services Commission ("GFSC") for banking, investment and money services business. Its address is PO Box 6, Hambro House, St Julian's Avenue, St Peter Port, Guernsey, GY1 3AE. The company (including the branch) is also authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority ("FCA") in respect of UK regulated mortgage business and its firm reference number is 310344. This document has not been authorised or reviewed by the JFSC, GFSC or FCA

#### Gibraltar

SG Kleinwort Hambros Bank (Gibraltar) Limited, which is authorised and regulated by the Gibraltar Financial Services Commission for the conduct of banking, investment and insurance mediation business. The company is incorporated in Gibraltar under number 01294 and its registered address is 32 Line Wall Road, Gibraltar.

#### General

Kleinwort Hambros is part of the wealth management arm of the Societe Generale Group, Societe Generale Private Banking. Societe Generale is a French Bank authorised in France by the Autorité de Contrôle Prudential et de Résolution, located at 61, rue Taitbout, 75436 Paris Cedex 09 and under the prudential supervision of the European Central Bank. It is also authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority.

Further information on the Kleinwort Hambros Group including additional legal and regulatory details can be found at: [www.kleinworthambros.com](http://www.kleinworthambros.com)

Any unauthorised use, duplication, redistribution or disclosure in whole or in part is prohibited without the prior consent of Societe Generale. The key symbols, Societe Generale, Societe Generale Private Banking and Kleinwort Hambros are registered trademarks of Societe Generale.

© Copyright the Societe Generale Group 2019. All rights reserved.

#### Marketing

If you do not wish to receive this document in the future, please let your private banker know or call us on +44 (0) 207 597 3000. Telephone calls may be recorded.